## A Case for Angels: Abū l-Barakāt's Alternative to Avicennan Rationalism

## Michael Lessman

I argue in this paper that Abū l-Barakāt's well-known rejection of the Active Intellect opts to find another ground of knowledge than intellect that emphasizes the soul's selfknowledge. Recent work by Benevich, Griffel, and others have argued that his theory of knowledge as perception is a nominalist form of unified direct realism. This claim starts with the idea that the soul is one, and so has no faculties, and thus sense-perception and cognition are not different in kind; it is a *unified* theory of knowledge. Furthermore, definitions and meanings do refer to the object and not just the name. Hence, it is *realist*. Their general form, however, only has mental existence; it is a *nominalist* theory. I explore two consequences to this interpretation. One is an epistemological one, the other cosmological.

The epistemological consideration is that, if  $Ab\bar{u}$  l-Barakāt rejects a real distinction between cognition and sense-perception, then he must also reject a distinction between *a priori* and *a posteriori* knowledge. I argue that this rejection is not simply empiricism (all knowledge is due to sense experience), since it also includes the experience of non-material things like the soul's self-knowledge. To explain this, I argue that  $Ab\bar{u}$  l-Barakāt presents us with a theory of self-perception (*idrāk al-idrāk*) to replace Avicenna's theory of the intellect. I explore in what ways this theory of self-perception could be considered a theory of consciousness, reflexive knowledge, self-awareness, or other kinds of knowing.

Secondly, I argue that with the Active Intellect gone, Abū I-Barakāt shifts the focus of how the rest of the cosmos comes into play. I point out how his epistemology is reflected in his cosmology, and to what extent Abū I-Barakāt substitutes the work of the Active Intellect for the work of the angels who are in the celestial spheres or discards the need for that work entirely. So I consider whether knowledge is solely grounded in the human mind, or is due to the structure of a world where human minds and angels closely interact with one another. For Abū I-Barakāt, knowing things might be a case for angels.